$\frac{\text{THEOREM}}{P \text{ is any point, then there are at least two distinct lines } l \text{ and } m \text{ such that } P \text{ lies on both } l \text{ and } m.$ $\frac{P \text{ROOF}}{P \text{ be a point.}}$ [Show that there are at least two distinct lines s.t. P lies on both.]

By <u>IA3</u>, there exists <u>3 noncollinear points</u>, A, B, and C.

<u>Case 1</u>: Suppose P is one of these 3 points.

WLOG[†], let P = A

Then P and B are <u>distinct points</u>, and by <u>IA1</u>, there exists a line l such that P and B lie on l.

Similarly <u>P and C</u> are distinct points and there exists a line m such that <u>P and C</u> lie on m

Therefore P lies on both l and m.

But $l \neq m$, otherwise P = A, B, and C would be <u>collinear</u>.

Therefore, there exists at least 2 distinct lines l and m such that P lies on both l and m.

<u>Case 2</u>: Suppose P is not one of these 3 points .

The P&A, P&B, and P&C are are three pairs of **distinct points** .

So by <u>IA1</u>, there exist lines $l = \overleftrightarrow{PA}$, $m = \overleftrightarrow{PB}$, and $n = \overleftrightarrow{PC}$.

Hence P is on all three lines.

[Still need to show that they are distinct.]

[Still need to show that $l \neq m$.]

These lines are <u>all distinct</u>, otherwise A, B, and C would be collinear.

Therefore, there exist at least 2 distinct lines such that P lies on both lines.

Continue working on the homework Section 2.6, p. 34: #4, 5, 6, 7(newly added)

^{*2.6.4 (}Exercise #3) in the book.

[†] "Without Loss of Generality": You can use this method when the proof doesn't rely on the specifics of the different cases. For example, suppose P = B instead. We could just relabel the points so that P = A. If you are uncertain whether to use WLOG, another method would be to do the proof for P = A and then say a similar proof would hold for the other (sub)cases of P = B and P = C.