THEOREM For all integers $a$ and $b$, if $a$ and $b$ are positive and $a$ divides $b$, then $a \leq b$.

Proof Let $a$ and $b$ be positive integers and let $a$ divide $b$. [Show $a \leq b$.]

By definition of divisibility , there exists an integer $k$ such that $\quad b=a k$.

Then since $b$ is positive, then $a k$ is $\qquad$ positive .

Then by Theorem T25 (App. A), since $a k>0$ and $a$ is positive, then $\qquad$ $k$ is also positive .

Since $k$ is a positive integer, it follows that $1 \leq k$.

Multiplying both sides by $a$, which we know is positive, gives
$a \leq a k \quad$ by T20 (App. A).

Therefore, $a \leq b$ by substitution.

Theorem The only divisors of 1 are 1 and -1 .
$\underline{\text { Proof }}$ Let $m$ be a divisor_ of $1 . \quad$ [Show that $m$ must be $\quad 1$ or -1 .]

Then by definition of divisibility, $m$ is an $\qquad$ integer and there exists an integer $k$ such that $1=$ $\qquad$ $m k$ .

By Theorem T25 (App. A), either $m$ and $k$ are both $\qquad$ or they are both $\qquad$ .

Case 1: $m$ and $k$ are both positive.

Since $m$ and 1 are positive integers and $m$ divides 1, by the previous theorem, $\qquad$ $m \leq 1$ .

The only way for positive integer to be less than or equal to 1 , is for the integer to be $\qquad$ 1 .

Therefore, $m=1$.

Case 2: $m$ and $k$ are both $\qquad$ negative .

Then by Theorem T12 (App. A), $(-m)(-k)=$ $\qquad$ $=1$.

Thus, by definition, $-m$ is a divisor of $\qquad$ .

Also, since $m$ is negative, $-m$ is $\qquad$ positive .

Hence $-m$ is a positive divisor of 1 , and by the previous theorem, $\qquad$ $\leq 1$.

By the same reasoning as above, $-m=1$ and therefore, $\qquad$ $m=-1$ .

Since these are the only two possibilities, $m=1$ or $m=-1$, then the only divisors of 1 are $\qquad$ 1 or -1

